UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/582,187 | 06/08/2006 | Paul G. Swiszcz | 33559/US/2 | 3559/US/2 4006 | |
| 20686 DORSEY & W | 7590 01/05/201 HITNEY, LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| INTELLECTU | AL PROPERTY DEPA | RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA | | | |
| 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 4700 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DENVER, CO | 80202-5647 | 1794 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/05/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Applica | ation No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--|---------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | | 10/582 | ,187 | SWISZCZ, PAUL | G. | | |
| | | Examir | ier | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | Ruddock | 1794 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply | ion appears on | the cover sheet with the d | correspondence ad | ldress | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed o | n <i>15 Sentembe</i> | r 2009 | | | | |
| | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| | · · | _ | | osecution as to the | e merits is | | |
| , | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 2-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-11 and 21-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inforn | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/582,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks filed September 15, 2009. In view of Applicant's response, the previously set forth rejection in view of Broadway (US 2004/0224584) have been withdrawn. However, after an updated search, additional prior art has been found which renders the invention as currently claimed unpatentable for reasons herein.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 1794

4. Claims 2-11 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Christou et al. (FR 0315269). Christou et al. (FR 0315269) disclose a mesh comprising glass yarns (abstract). Please use US 2005/0159058 as a translation for FR 0315269. Christou et al. disclose that it is well known to make a mesh made from glass yarns first coated with PVC and then weaving (i.e. woven) the coated yarns [0003]. The mesh can also be made of two sheets of glass yarn superposed onto one another without being woven together, with the two sheets being bonded together in any appropriate manner (e.g. adhesive) [0012]. The mesh is made from glass yarns and can be coated with an acrylic or vinyl binder associated with polyurethane [0019]. The mesh is used for producing curtains or blinds for use on windows. The purpose of such curtains or blinds is generally to attenuate the passage of light under very bright conditions and to serve as a sort of screen [0002]. The deposited coating weight ranges from 15-18 gsm [0116]. The polymer coating is a multilayer coating [0020]. The coating layers impart color, protection, and opacity [0025]. Regarding the limitation of a dry-lay heat bond, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has no been given patentable weight.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/U. C. R./

/Ula C Ruddock/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Application/Control Number: 10/582,187

Page 5

Art Unit: 1794